



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/717,656	11/21/2003	Young-Kwang Byun	4653-0107P	9044
2292 7	590 03/07/2005		EXAM	INER
	WART KOLASCH &	FIDEI, DAVID		
PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	, · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		3728	

DATE MAILED: 03/07/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/717,656	BYUN				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	David T. Fidei	3728				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>-</u> ·					
·=	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	n from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on 21 November 2003 is/ar Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	re: a) accepted or b) objector frawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/717,656

Art Unit: 3728

Drawings

1. Figure s 4 and 5 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

2. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it is not a single paragraph. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Art Unit: 3728

Claim Construction

In analyzing applicant's invention as set out in the pending claims, the examiner sets forth the following to aid in understanding the application of the prior art herein. Claims are to be given their broadest reasonable during prosecution, see In re Priest, 582 F.2d 33, 37 199 USPQ 11, 15 (CCPA 1978), and limitations from the specification will not be read into the claims, see, e.g. In re Prater, 415 F.2d 1393, 1404-1405, 162 USPQ 541, 550-51 (CCPA 1969). Office personnel are to give claims their broadest reasonable interpretation in light of the supporting disclosure. In re Morris, 127 F.3d 1048, 1054-55, 44 USPQ2d 1023,1027-28 (Fed. Cir. 1997), see MPEP 2106.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 5. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. It is not shown or described how the thresholds are formed to interact with the grooves so that they are assembled to and released in the only direction.
- 6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Art Unit: 3728

7. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. It is not clear what is considered only in one direction. At the very least, it would seem placing the cover on and off the body could be said to involve two directions, an upward and downward direction.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 9. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Jang (Patent Pub. no. US 2003/0029471 A1). A cosmetic case is disclosed comprising a case body 12 formed with thresholds 16 protruding from two positions on both sides of upper portion inside the body. A cover 15 formed with hook grooves 13 corresponding to the thresholds in two positions on an outer surrounding wall of the cover. A fixing member 22 fitted inside a knob 17 to be secured on bottom portion thereof and a cosmetic aids 25 fixed and integrated to the cover by a ring type holder 24 at bottom portion of the fixing member.

As to claim 2, the hook grooves 13 are formed in only one direction (horizontal) to allow the thresholds to be assembled to and released from the grooves in the only direction (vertical), and both of the hook groove and the threshold are formed on two positions outside the cover and inside the body, respectively.

Art Unit: 3728

10. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Fick (Patent no. 599,775). A cosmetic case is disclosed comprising a case body A formed with thresholds C protruding from two positions on both sides of upper portion inside the body. A cover D formed with hook grooves C corresponding to the thresholds in two positions on an outer surrounding wall of the cover. A fixing member F fitted inside a knob E to be secured on bottom portion thereof and a cosmetic aids G fixed and integrated to the cover by a ring type holder (around member G but not numbered) at bottom portion of the fixing member.

As to claim 2, the hook grooves C are formed in only one direction (horizontal) to allow the thresholds to be assembled to and released from the grooves in the only direction (vertical), and both of the hook groove and the threshold are formed on two positions outside the cover and inside the body, respectively.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 11. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 12. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fick (Patent no. 599,775) in view of Stine (Patent no. 2,679,878). Fick discloses a cosmetic case is disclosed comprising a case body A formed with thresholds C protruding from two positions on both sides of upper portion inside the body. A cover D formed with hook grooves C corresponding to the thresholds in two positions on an outer surrounding wall of the cover. A fixing member F fitted inside a knob E to be secured on bottom portion thereof and a cosmetic aids G fixed and integrated to the cover by a ring type holder (around member G but not numbered) at bottom portion of the fixing member.

Art Unit: 3728

To the extent that the thresholds are not considered as protruding from two positions on both sides of the upper portion Stine is cited for the teaching of an inside body portion formed with thresholds 25 on a plurality of locations that cooperate with hook member 28 forming grooves corresponding to the thresholds 25, see figures 1 and 6. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the threaded engagement of Fick by employing thresholds protruding from positions on both sides of upper portion inside the body that correspond with hook grooves on the cover as taught by Stine, for the reason that such a modification would have been a substitution of equivalent locking means. To provide any reason number of bayonet locking members on the cosmetic case would have been an obvious matter of design choice for the reason that the particular number provides no critical or unexpected result.

As to claim 2, the hook grooves are formed in only one direction to allow the thresholds to be assembled to and released from the grooves in only the one direction. This direction is a one planar rotational direction.

REPLY BY APPLICANT OR PATENT OWNER TO THIS OFFICE ACTION

13. "In order to be entitled to reconsideration or further examination, the applicant or patent owner must reply to every ground of objection and rejection in this Office action. The reply must present arguments pointing out the specific distinctions believed to render the claims, including any newly presented claims, patentable over any applied references. The applicant 's or patent owner 's reply must appear throughout to be a bona fide attempt to advance the application or the reexamination proceeding to final action. A general allegation that the claims define a patentable invention without specifically pointing out how the language of the claims patentably distinguishes them from the references does not comply with the requirements of this section.

The reply must be reduced to writing (emphasis added)", see 37 CFR 1.111 (b) & (c), M.P.E.P. 714.02.

Art Unit: 3728

Pointing out specific distinctions means clearly indicating in the written response what features/elements or distinctions have been added to the claim/claims, where support is found in the specification for such recitations and how these features are not shown, taught, obvious or inherent in the prior art.

If no amendments are made to claims as applicant or patent owner believes the claims are patentable without further modification, the reply must distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the examiner 's action and must respond to every ground of objection and rejection in the prior Office Action in the same vain as given above, 37 CFR 1.111 (b) & (c), M.P.E.P. 714.02.

The examiner also points out, due to the change in practice as affecting final rejections, older decisions on questions of prematureness of final rejection or admission of subsequent amendments do not necessarily reflect present practice. "Under present practice, second or any subsequent actions on the merits shall be final, except where the examiner introduces a new ground of rejection that is neither necessitated by applicant's amendment of the claims nor based on information submitted in an information disclosure statement filed during the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.97(c)" (emphasis mine), see MPEP 706.07(a).

Conclusion

14. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David T. Fidei whose telephone number is (571) 272-4553. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mickey Yu can be reached on (571) 272-4562.

Application/Control Number: 10/717,656

Art Unit: 3728

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

David 1. Fidei Primary Examiner Art Unit 3728

dtf March 6, 2005